PATENT COOPERATION TREATY	
PadENT COOPERATION TREATY	

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: MICHAEL E. WHITHAM WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C. 11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD

SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 20190		INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
	-		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	24 FEB 2005	
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER	ACTION	
02940323TA		,	See paragraph 2 below	
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US04/25185	06 August 2004 (06.08.		08 August 2003 (08.08.2003)	
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or both national classifica	tion and IPC		
IPC(7): A61B 5/00 and US C1.: 600/561 Applicant	-			
VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIV	ERSITY			
1. This opinion contains indications rel	ating to the following item	ıs:		
Box No. I Basis of the				
Box No. II Priority				
Box No. III Non-establi	shment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability	
	ty of invention	•		
Box No. V Reasoned st applicability	atement under Rule 43bis c; citations and explanation	.1(a)(i) with regard to as supporting such sta	o novelty, inventive step or industrial	
Box No. VI Certain doc	uments cited			
Box No. VII Certain defe	ects in the international app	plication		
Box No. VIII Certain obse	ervations on the internation	nal application	;	
2. FURTHER ACTION				
International Preliminary Examining	g Authority ("IPEA") ex he IPEA and the chosen I	cept that this does a PEA has notified the	e considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) tred.	
mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or be	re appropriate, with ame fore the expiration of 22 n	ndments, before the	A, the applicant is invited to submit to the expiration of 3 months from the date of ity date, whichever expires later.	
For further options, see Form PCT/IS	SA/220.			
3. For further details, see notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.	\	,	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US		Authorized officer		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents		Charles A. Marmo	-, 11	
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450				
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		Telephone No. (70	3) 308-0858	
orm PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January '	7004)			



International	application No.	

PCT/US04/25185

Box No	o. I Basis of this opinion
1. With a it was	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With claime	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the divertion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
. Additio	nal comments:
	-
Domiti	

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (January 2004)



Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (Jamuary 2004)

International application No. PCT/US04/25185

Novelty (N) Claims 1-14 Claims NONE Inventive step (IS) Claims 1-14 Claims NONE Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-14 Claims NONE Claims 1-14 Claims 1-14 Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly measuring intra cranial pressure and a method for use thereof, where the apparatus includes an acoustic eye to an eyeball of the patient having sensors for measuring acoustic signals; a sweep generator for applying ac skull of the patient; and an analyzer for determining intra cranial pressure from the output of the acoustic eye Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the can be made or used in industry.	e step or industrial
Inventive step (IS) Claims 1-14 Claims NONE Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-14 Claims NONE Claims 1-14 Claims NONE 2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly measuring intra cranial pressure and a method for use thereof, where the apparatus includes an acoustic eye to an eyeball of the patient having sensors for measuring acoustic signals; a sweep generator for applying acoustic of the patient; and an analyzer for determining intra cranial pressure from the output of the acoustic eye Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the	
Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-14 Claims 1-14 Claims NONE 2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly measuring intra cranial pressure and a method for use thereof, where the apparatus includes an acoustic eye to an eyeball of the patient having sensors for measuring acoustic signals; a sweep generator for applying ac skull of the patient; and an analyzer for determining intra cranial pressure from the output of the acoustic eye Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the	YES
Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-14 Claims NONE 2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly measuring intra cranial pressure and a method for use thereof, where the apparatus includes an acoustic eye to an eyeball of the patient having sensors for measuring acoustic signals; a sweep generator for applying acskull of the patient; and an analyzer for determining intra cranial pressure from the output of the acoustic eye Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the	NO
Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-14 Claims NONE 2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly measuring intra cranial pressure and a method for use thereof, where the apparatus includes an acoustic eye to an eyeball of the patient having sensors for measuring acoustic signals; a sweep generator for applying acskull of the patient; and an analyzer for determining intra cranial pressure from the output of the acoustic eye Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the	YES
Claims NONE 2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly measuring intra cranial pressure and a method for use thereof, where the apparatus includes an acoustic eye to an eyeball of the patient having sensors for measuring acoustic signals; a sweep generator for applying ac skull of the patient; and an analyzer for determining intra cranial pressure from the output of the acoustic eye Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the	NO
Claims NONE 2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly measuring intra cranial pressure and a method for use thereof, where the apparatus includes an acoustic eye to an eyeball of the patient having sensors for measuring acoustic signals; a sweep generator for applying ac skull of the patient; and an analyzer for determining intra cranial pressure from the output of the acoustic eye Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the	VEC
Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly measuring intra cranial pressure and a method for use thereof, where the apparatus includes an acoustic eye to an eyeball of the patient having sensors for measuring acoustic signals; a sweep generator for applying acskull of the patient; and an analyzer for determining intra cranial pressure from the output of the acoustic eye Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the	YES NO
	e patch adapted to conform acoustic signals across the eye patch.



International application No.

PCT/US04/25185

Box N	0.	VII	Certain	defects	in	the	international	application	
-------	----	-----	---------	---------	----	-----	---------------	-------------	--

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VII) (January 2004)

The following	ng defects in the fo	orm or contents c	of the internation	not application b	aria baan natadi		
The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: The drawings are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(iii) as containing the following defect(s) in the form or content thereof: the							
drawings do r	not include reference	character "240" a	is mentioned at p	age 15, line 11 of	the specification.	m or content thereor:	the
				•			
				•			



International application No.

PCT/US04/25185

Box	No.	VIII	Certain	observations or	a the i	international	application
-----	-----	------	---------	-----------------	---------	---------------	-------------

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 5 and 12 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claims 5 and 12 are indefinite for the following reason(s): both claims recite that "the acoustic eye patch sensor is a piezoelectric film" suggesting that there is only a single sensor on the acoustic eye patch which is inconsistent with the disclosure of independent claims 1 and 8 that recite that the patch includes "sensors." In view of the foregoing, it is unclear how many sensors form the acoustic eye patch.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VIII) (January 2004)